WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SHAMROCK ENVIRONMENTAL LANDFILL

Date:	1/50/05 Inspector RY	てを	ACKS!	
Time:_	Weather Conditions: 500	امر	-5°	State of the state
	1	4		
†		Ye.	No.	Notes
CCRL	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR \$257.8	34)		this the same of t
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or			
	localized settlement observed on the		1	1
	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing	ŀ	IX	
	CCR?			
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells			
Namag 	containing CCR or within the general landfill			77.11
	operations that represent a potential disruption			***************************************
7	to ongoing CCR management operations?	<u> </u>		
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that			
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of	:		
	the CCR management operations.			
		<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u> 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 </u>
	gitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting	1/	. [
	period? If answer is no, no additional	X		
	information required.	(iiiiikojosujujujuj	inhidhi, darimunum dadidi mu	
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust	<i>\(\chi_{\chi} \)</i>	4.	
	suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?		 	
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR			
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to	:	ł X	
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not			
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?	ingfordynoidhdiditiil		
7,	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on			
	Jändfill access roads?	 	-[4	The state of the s
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the	,	X	
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.			
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control	100	2 23 27 22 21	
\mathcal{D}_{ψ}	measures effective? If the answer is no,	\mathcal{X}		
	describe recommended changes below.			
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen:	(1 - 15 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	12-1	
ja 04	complaints received during the reporting		IX	•
	period? If the answer is yes, answer question			
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?	**************************************	121	
	1 A Company of the second of t			

Additional Notes:	1.1111,73111.			
Sharifaminagais - transferminagaismigaismagaismagaismina an teatra		de till og en en ein ble ster en ein ein ein ein ein ein ein ein ein	the transfer of the section of the second commence of the second com	ֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈֈ
		* 4		**
Same dempire transfer to the factor of the parties of the factor of the	<u> </u>			
 The first explicit and the second explici	 P.O. C. (1977) Interest resident on concentrations. 	sidd caur In i'r Mil baglidddir dilaisaachair.	mentioners and the second seco	for the contract the company that it is the first